

# PHILOSOPHY AND CULTURE STUDY

---

UDC 008(091) – 470, 661

## ON WOMEN'S SYMBOLISM IN CHECHEN CULTURE

© 2014 S. A. Dauev

*Dauev Salamu Akhmedovich –  
Candidate of Philosophical Science,  
Associate Professor;  
Head of the Department of Social Work Technology  
of Law Department,  
Chechen State University,  
Kievskaya st., 33, Grozny, 364031, Russia.  
E-mail: dsa\_rus@mail.ru.*

*Article is devoted to the subject actual for the Chechen society – emergence of the tradition of female scarf wearing which has turned into a symbol of the woman's moral in the course of ethnogenesis. The history of this custom emergence, its initial moral sense and its functional role as a culture symbol is revealed. Natural appellation of nations to historical roots of their culture as a reaction to westernization, to aggressive planting of symbols western ersatz cultures incompatible with values of traditional culture is emphasized.*

**Keywords:** symbol, woman, culture, scarf, goddess.

### References

1. Mironov V. V. Globalizacija i ugrozy unifikacii [Globalization and Dangers of Unification] // Filosofskie issledovaniya. M., 2011.
2. Kassirer Je. Filosofija simvolicheskikh form [Philosophy of Symbolic Forms]. T. 1. M.; SPb., 2002.
3. Riker P. Germenevtika. Jetika. Politika [Hermeneutics, Ethics, Politics]. M., 1995.
4. Rasmussen D. M. Symbol and Interpretation. Hague, 1974.
5. Kul'turologija / pod red. G. V. Dracha [Cultural Studies]. Rostov n/D, 2005.
6. Pesni vajnahov [Songs of Vainakhs']. Groznyj, 1972.
7. Sulejmanov A. Toponimija Checheno-Ingushetii [Toponymy of Chechen-Ingush Republic]. Groznyj, 1973. Ch. 1.
8. Lotman Ju. M. Simvol v sisteme kul'tury // Izbr. stat'i: v 3 t. T. 1. [Symbol in the System of Culture] Stat'i po semiotike i topologii kul'tury [Articles on Topology and Culture]. Tallin, 1992.

UDC 001:1

## ETHNOSYSTEM AS AN OPEN DISSIPATIVE STRUCTURE

© 2014 M. A. Igosheva

Igosheva Marina Anatolevna –  
 Candidate of Philosophical Science, Associate Professor;  
 Native History Department,  
 Rostov State University of Railway Engineering,  
 Rostovskogo Strelkovogo Polka  
 Narodnogo Opolcheniya, 2,  
 Rostov-on-don, 344038, Russia.  
 E-mail: igosheva\_marina@mail.ru.

*For the first time ethnicity is defined as a system of open character in the ethnogenesis concept of L. N. Gumilev, basing on the work of L. Bertalanffy and the second law of thermodynamics. Moreover, a number of explanations in the scientist's interpretation proves the incompleteness of General theoretical approaches to the problem and requires specification of the ethnic system properties. In particular, the feature of ethnicity openness suggests the existence of other indicators: dissipativity, disequilibrium, nonlinearity, etc. The ethnic group, possessing the properties mentioned above, is able to evolve. Many-sidedness of ethnic system properties requires construction of intractably nonlinear mathematical equations for the ethnogenesis quantitative description. Qualitative consideration of the ethnic system properties allows us to predict their development path.*

**Keywords:** ethnicity, ethnogenesis, ethnic system, openness, disequilibrium, dissipativity, nonlinearity, emergence, synergetics.

## References

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Sadolin A. P. Jetnologija: uchebnik. [Ethnology] M., 2000. 256 s.<br>2. Gumilev L. N. Jetnogenezi biosfera Zemli. [Ethnogenesis and Biosphere of the Earth] M., 1994. 640 s.<br>3. Bromlej Ju. V. Ocherki teorii jetnosa. [Essays on Ethnos Theory] M., 1983. 432 s.<br>4. Gumilev L. N. Sushhnost' jetnicheskoy celostnosti [The Essence of Ethnic Integrity] (Landshaft i jetnos. XII) // Vestnik LGU. 1971. Ser. Geologija i geografija. № 24. S. 97–106.<br>5. Bogolyubov N. N. Sobranie nauchnyh trudov: v 12 t. T. 5: Neravnovesnaja statisticheskaja mehanika, 1939–1980. [Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics] M., 2006. 804 s.<br>6. Reshetnjak S. A., Shelepin L. A. Metod kvaziravnovesnyh funkij v fizicheskoj kinetike [The Method of Quasi-equilibrium Function in Physical Kinetics] // Trudy FIAN SSSR. M., 1979. T. 106. S. 90–118.<br>7. Novyj jencikopedicheskij slovar'. [New Encyclopedic Dictionary] M., 2004. 1456 s.<br>8. Ivanova Ju. V. Jetnos – sociokul'turnaja dinamika i tradicij: avtoref. dis. ... d-ra filosof. nauk. [Socio-cultural Dynamics and Traditions] M., 2000. URL: <a href="http://www.dissercat.com/content/">http://www.dissercat.com/content/</a> | etnos-sotsiokulturnaya-dinamika-i-traditsii (data obrashhenija: 02.10.2014).<br>9. Lisichkin V. A., Shelepin L. A., Boev B. V. Zakat civilizacii ili dvizhenie k noosfere (jekologija s raznyh storon). [Decline of Civilization or Movement to the Noosphere (environment from different points of view)] M., 1997. 352 s.<br>10. Arnol'd V. I. Teorija katastrof «Sovremennye problemy matematiki. Fundamental'nye napravlenija. [Theory of Catastrophes «Problems of Contemporary Mathematics. Fundamental Directions]. T. 5 (Itogi nauki i tehn. VINITI AN SSSR)». M., 1985. 278 s.<br>11. Jeshbi U. R. Vvedenie v kibernetiku. [Introduction to Cybernetics.] 2-e izd., stereotip. M., 2005. 432 s.<br>12. Zhilin D. M. Teorija sistem: opyt postroenija kursa. [Theory of Systems: the Experience of Course Building]. 5-e izd., ispr. M., 2010. 170 s.<br>13. Krajnjuchenko I. V., Popov V. P. Sistemnoe mirovozzrenie. Teoriya i analiz: ucheb. dlja vuzov. [Systemic Worldview. Theory and Analysis] Pjatigorsk, 2005. 218 s.<br>14. Bol'shakov A. S. Menedzhment: uchebnoe posobie. [Management] SPb., 2000. 160 s.<br>15. Tavadov G. T. Jetnologija: uchebnik. [Ethnology]. M., 2009. 408 s. |
|--|---|

UDC 167

## DIVERSITY OF EPISTEMOLOGICAL TRENDS IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIO-HUMANITARIAN SPACE

© 2014 M. V. Randl

Rendl Marina Valerievna –  
Candidate of Philosophical Science, Associate Professor;  
Department of Philosophy and Law,  
South-Russian State Technical University (NPI),  
named after M. I. Platov,  
Prosveshcheniya st., 132, Novocherkassk, Rostov region.,  
346428, Russia.  
E-mail: aspera-86@mail.ru

*Modern socio-humanitarian space is filled with cognitive trends that have diverse ideological content, from the system of principles to the case studies. Social epistemology assumes the function of methodological selection process in which it is possible to determine the structural vectors of scientific evolution. The present work is devoted to comprehension of the most productive concepts within the epistemology having potential interest for interfacing of various contextual fields on the interdisciplinary basis.*

**Keywords:** socio-humanitarian space, case studies, social epistemology.

### References

1. Social'naja jepistemologija: idei, metody, programmy. [Social Epistemology: Ideas, Methods, Programs]. M., 2010. 712 s.
  2. Jevoljucionnaja jepistemologija. [Evolutionary Epistemology]. M., 2012. 704 s.
  3. Lebedev S. A. Filosofija nauki: Terminologicheskij slovar'. [Philosophy of Science: Terminological Dictionary]. M., 2011. 269 s.
  4. Ogurcov A. P. Filosofija nauki: dvadcatyj vek. Koncepcii i problemy. [Philosophy of Science: the Twentieth Century. Concepts and Issues]. Ch. 1. SPb., 2011. 503 s.
  5. Mikeshina L. A. Filosofija poznaniija: problemy jepistemologii gumanitarnogo znanija. [Philosophy of Cognition: the Problem of Epistemology of the Humanities]. M., 2009. 560 s.
-